

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY  
SCHREYER HONORS COLLEGE

DEPARTMENT OF BIOENGINEERING

PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION OF THE EFFECTS OF ARCH HEIGHT ON  
SUBTALAR JOINT MECHANICS DURING WALKING

MCVJGTKPG "M0" QøMGNN [  
Spring 2011

A thesis  
submitted in partial fulfillment  
of the requirements  
for baccalaureate degrees  
in Bioengineering and International Studies  
with honors in Bioengineering

Reviewed and approved\* by the following:

Dr. Stephen J. Piazza  
Associate Professor of Kinesiology  
Thesis Supervisor

Dr. Peter J. Butler  
Associate Professor of Bioengineering  
Honors Adviser

Dr. Jinger S. Gottschall  
Assistant Professor of Kinesiology  
Faculty Reader

\* Signatures are on file in the Schreyer Honors College.

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this honors thesis was to modify a method for locating the subtalar joint axis from measured foot motions. Once the axis is located with this subtalar axis locator (SAL II), then moments about this axis can be determined through a series of walking trials by utilizing a 6 camera motion analysis system and force plates. The location of and moments about the subtalar joint axis provide key insight into the mechanics of this crucial ankle joint. This study specifically sought to determine if arch height correlates with peak internal subtalar joint moments during walking. Further understanding of how arch height affects these subtalar joint mechanics could contribute to the improved treatment methods of such gait disorders as adult acquired flatfoot disorder.

Preliminary testing of a limited subject population indicated a possible correlation between low arches and large internal supination subtalar joint axis moments during early stance. The reliability of the Arch Height Measurement System was also determined, providing the Penn State Biomechanics Laboratory with a reliable way of measuring arch height. This thesis establishes the protocol for determining subtalar joint axis location and moments with the SAL II device, a protocol that can be used to definitively determine correlations between arch height and subtalar joint mechanics in a variety of future studies.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES .....	iv
LIST OF TABLES.....	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	viii
Chapter 1 Introduction .....	1
1.1 Statement of the Problem .....	1
1.2 Objective of the Project.....	5
1.3 Hypothesis.....	6
Chapter 2 Literature Review .....	8
2.1 Background on Subtalar Joint .....	8
2.2 Methods for Locating Subtalar Joint Axis.....	9
2.2.1 Cadaver Studies.....	9
2.2.2 <i>In vivo</i> Studies.....	10
2.3 Subtalar Joint Moments during Gait.....	11
2.4 Subtalar Joint Function and Foot Type.....	13
2.5 Methods of Assessing Arch Height .....	14
Chapter 3 Methods.....	16
3.1 Changes to SAL II.....	16
3.2 Subtalar Joint Moments during Gait.....	18
3.2.1 Tibial Cluster .....	18
3.2.2 Calcaneus Cluster I .....	20
3.2.3 Calcaneus Cluster II .....	21
3.3 Creation of the Arch Height Measurement System .....	24
3.4 Establishment of the Protocol.....	25
3.4.1 Tipping of the SAL base .....	25
3.4.2 Common Speed Across Subjects.....	25
3.4.3 Appropriate Foot Placement on Force Plates.....	26
3.5 Post-Processing .....	26
Chapter 4 Results.....	30
Chapter 5 Discussion .....	39
5.1 Results Summary .....	39
5.2 Possible Implications .....	39
5.3 Limitations.....	41
5.4 Conclusion .....	43
Works Cited.....	45

Appendix A Final Protocol ..... 48

Appendix B Testing Data Sheet ..... 51

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Anatomy of the ankle joint. ....	2
Figure 1.2 Location of the subtalar joint axis. ....	2
Figure 2.1 Location of the subtalar joint axis. ....	8
Figure 2.2 Arch height index measurement system. ....	15
Figure 3.1 SAL I device. ....	16
Figure 3.2 SAL II device before changes were made. ....	17
Figure 3.3 SAL II device after changes were made. ....	18
Figure 3.4 Tibial cluster after changes were made. ....	19
Figure 3.5 Wrapping of Coban to secure calcaneus cluster to foot. ....	22
Figure 3.6 Calcaneus marker cluster II ....	23
Figure 3.7 Top view of AHMS ....	24
Figure 3.8 Location of anatomical landmark markers ....	27
Figure 3.9 The necessary values to calculate STJ moments ....	29
Figure 4.1 Subject 1 STJ moments vs. Percent Stance (comfortable) ....	31
Figure 4.2 Subject 2 STJ moments vs. Percent Stance (comfortable) ....	31
Figure 4.3 Subject 3 STJ moments vs. Percent Stance (comfortable) ....	32
Figure 4.4 Subject 1 STJ moments vs. Percent Stance (1.5m/s). ....	32
Figure 4.5 Subject 2 STJ moments vs. Percent Stance (1.5m/s). ....	33
Figure 4.6 Subject 3 STJ moments vs. Percent Stance (1.5m/s). ....	33
Figure 4.7 Avg peak eversion moment vs. AHI. ....	35
Figure 4.8 Avg peak inversion moment vs. AHI. ....	35
Figure 4.9 Inclination and deviation angles vs. AHI ....	36
Figure 4.10 Normalized peak eversion moment vs. deviation angle ....	36
Figure 4.11 Normalized peak eversion moment vs. inclination angle. ....	37
Figure 4.12 Normalized peak inversion moment vs. inclination angle ....	37

Figure 4.13 Normalized peak eversion moment vs. deviation angle .....	38
Figure 5.1 STJ moments vs. stance: Scott & Winter and this study .....	40

**LIST OF TABLES**

Table 4.1 Comparison of inclination and deviation angles from various studies .....	30
Table 5.1 Comparison of inclination and deviation angles from various studies. ....	39

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would first like to thank my thesis advisor, Dr. Stephen J. Piazza, for all of the time he took over the past year to share his wide breadth of knowledge of biomechanics with me. He truly sought to challenge me in many different ways and because of this I learned so much- not just about subtalar joint mechanics- but about the entire research process as well. I could not have succeeded without his tremendous help.

I would also like to thank Nori Okita for his tremendous help with the motion analysis system and software in the Penn State Biomechanics Laboratory. He taught me the skills I needed to work both this system and the software so that I could truly carry out these experiments on my own.

Thirdly, I would like to thank Denny Ripka for his invaluable support in the construction of the Arch Height Measurement System, the SAL II device, and the marker clusters.

In addition, I would like to thank Dr. Jinger Gottschall for acting as a faculty reader for this thesis.

I would also like to thank my honors adviser, Dr. Peter Butler, for all of his assistance the past four years. He has provided me with incredible support in my academic career and I truly appreciate all that he has done to contribute to my success.

Lastly, I would like to thank my family and friends for their support through the work of this thesis and during my entire undergraduate career at Penn State. I honestly could not have done it without them.